IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 770 OF 2006

Vijaykumar Mahadeo Pawar
and six others .....Applicants.

V/s

State of Maharashtra .....Respondent.

Mr. Niranjan Mundargi for the applicants.
Ms. M.M. Deshmukh, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.M. KANADE, J.
DATE: 16th March, 2006

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the State.

2. In the present case by order dated 2nd March, 2006 applicant Nos. 2 to 7 were granted an anticipatory bail. However, notice was issued to the complainant in order to find out whether there was possibility of settlement in the matter. The notice has been served. The complainant is present in Court. She has submitted that there is no possibility of reconciliation in the present case atleast as of today.

3. The applicant got married with the complainant on 22/5/2005 and, according to the complainant, she was assaulted and driven out of her house on 27/10/2005. The present complaint has been lodged on 19/2/2006. The delay in filing the complaint has not been explained. In my view, the applicant is entitled to anticipatory bail subject to certain conditions being imposed by this Court.

4. The applicant in the event of his arrest in connection with C.R. No.67 of 2006 registered at Deonar Police Station, be released on bail in the sum of Rs 5000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount. The applicant shall not threaten the complainant or her family members. If any attempt is made to threaten the witnesses, liberty is given to the Public Prosecutor to apply for cancellation of bail.

5. Application is disposed of in the above terms.

V.M. KANADE, J.